



**University Council  
First Plenary Meeting  
Erasmus University Rotterdam**

**Date and Time:** 07/06/2022, 15:00 – 16:30h

Location: Polak 3-14

**Present in the Meeting:** Friso Roos (acting Chair), Pi Cheng Hu, Sandra Constantinou Juhasz, Sebastiaan Kamp, Max Wagenaar, Nikita Schoenmaker, John van Wel, Natascha Kraal, Patryk Jarmakowicz, Bianca Jadoenath, Ernst Hulst, Robbert Rog, Lobke van Steenbergen (Clerk).

**Absent:** Jasper Klasen, Yusuf Balci, Aleid Fokkema, Daemon Kregting, Georgiana Carp, Timo Zandvliet, Cagla Altin, Albert Wagelmans, Hans van den Berg (Chair).

**Online:** Irena Boskovic, Zohra Hayat, Emese von Bóné, Oriana Morales Hernández (Minutes), Simo Azzarhouni

## **01 Opening**

### **01.01 Setting of the agenda**

The point on the allocation model will be deleted from the agenda, as there are no updates on the topic as of now. Furthermore, the letter on the numerus fixus is no part of the official documentation of the meeting, as it requires some substantive changes which will be discussed during the meeting.

### **01.02 Setting of the minutes of the previous meeting**

The minutes were sent late last week. Therefore, they will be discussed in the upcoming plenary meeting alongside the minutes from today's meeting.

### **01.03 Action points from the last meeting**

- *The HeQa Clerk will draft a letter of consent containing the concerns of the UC in relation to the CLI budget*

This letter has been shared.

- *The UC Clerk will ask whether the EB can share the discussion of the Deans at the University in relation to the Numerus Fixus.*

Some further information was shared with the council. However, there is unclarity on whether there is an explicit letter of communication between the EB and the Deans.

**Action point: The UC Clerk will check on the letter that was mentioned in the additional information send by the Erasmus MC.**

- The task force working on Numerus Fixus will Draft the letter of advice including the points of concern on the IBA program, and the numerus fixus at EMC.

Today, there will be a discussion on the topic.

### **01.04 Announcements**

- New UC members

Friso emailed all new student UC members so they can have an informal meeting with the current UC students and welcome them to the Council. They aim to establish a buddy system for those students that are new to the system. Furthermore, EBUC is supporting the new member that will join the Council to replace John from the service Councils.

## **02 Agenda items plenary meeting UC**

### **02.01 CLI Budget (Progress Report CLI 2022)**

The Draft letter is on the agenda, and it will be sent to the EB with the adjustments proposed by different UC members.

**Action point: The HeQa Clerk will send the final version of the CLI budget letter to the UC Clerk so it can be sent to the EB.**

### **02.02 Numerus Fixus 2023-2024**

During the meeting, there was an ample discussion on the advice and letter for the numerus fixus 2023-2024. It was mentioned that the advice of the UC should be independent of that of the FC.

When it came to the Erasmus MC, the letter said that there was agreement with all the arguments of the FC Erasmus MC. However, the FC gave negative advice, and there is not necessarily a full agreement on all of their points, especially considering the national circumstances and the fixed number of seats. Ideally, the focus would be on constructive concerns, and not focus on the number of seats only.

Jasper Klasen was not present in the meeting but sent an extensive message through one UC member explaining why the Erasmus MC FC gave negative advice and urging the UC to follow these lines when considering the Erasmus MC. However, there is unclarity on whether there is substantial legal accuracy behind the FC arguments. Furthermore, the advice of the UC should be general instead of directed to one specific faculty.

Considering that the UC is the EB's communication partner, the Council could urge the EB to discuss the problems pointed out by the FC of Erasmus MC and discuss them with the faculty. Ultimately, the UC voted on giving positive advice to the Numerus Fixus 2023-2024 (14 votes in favour, one vote against). Any editing or corrections on the letter of advice should be minor. No major points of argumentation will be considered when proofreading the letter on the Teams environment.

### **02.03 HoKa: Budget plan 2022 Student Wellbeing**

The HoKa workgroup will look at the documents and draft a letter regarding the consent of the budget plan of Student Wellbeing. This letter will be available before the third plenary meeting of the cycle.

### **02.04 HoKa: Budget plan 2022 Impact at the Core**

The HoKa workgroup will look at the documents and draft a letter regarding the consent of the budget plan of Impact at the Core. This letter will be available before the third plenary meeting of the cycle.

### **02.05 Student charter 2022-2023**

*Task force: Friso, Robbert, Nikita, Sebastiaan, Ernst, Zohra*

The Student charter is reviewed and updated annually, so it is in line with the current regulations and guidelines. It does not create new rights and obligations but is a summary of existing regulations and legislation. For this year, no substantial changes were made. Some minor changes include the update of legal articles, grammatical changes, an added digital tuition card instead of physical tuition card, and the updated information on tuition fees 2022-2023

### **02.06 Erasmus Perspectives**

The Erasmus Perspective 2023-2026 describes the anticipated developments in the upcoming four years and provides the budgetary framework for the budget plans of the faculties and support services. The Finance task force will pick up this agenda point. Furthermore, they will draft a letter on the perspectives

by the third plenary meeting and discuss it with the rest of the UC. If other members that do not partake on the task force would like to join this review, they are welcomed to do so.

### **02.07 Highlights Report December 2021**

Every year, the Highlights Report is put together with the Erasmus Perspectives. The Finance task force will discuss this report. It is only put on the agenda for information.

### **02.08 Institutional Tuition fee 2023-2024**

*Task force: Friso, John, Sandra, Robbert, Nikita, Ernst, Zohra*

The Executive Board annually sets the following tuition fees:

1. The institutional tuition fees for students who do not meet the degree or nationality requirement for statutory tuition fees (WHW Art. 7.46 section 2);
2. The rate of the increased statutory tuition fee for study programmes with the special characteristic of small-scale and intensive education (WHW Art. 6.7 Sections 1 and 2). At EUR, this only applies to EUC;
3. The fee for the premaster programs (WHW Art. 7.57i). These fees are based on the statutory fee and will therefore be determined once OCW has determined the statutory fees for 23-24.

The task force will provide a letter of response by the third plenary meeting of this cycle.

**Action point: The minute taker will ask Aleid and Jasper if they want to join this task force.**

### **02.09 Legal Protection Annual Report 2021**

The Legal Protection Report gives an overview of the matters handled by the various boards and committees during the year under review. This point is on the agenda for information.

**Action point: If UC members have questions about the topic, they should send them to the Clerk by the upcoming plenary meeting.**

### **02.10 Midterm Report and follow up actions: Future Education**

The organization wants to co-create the educational vision with all stakeholders: the University Council is one of these stakeholders (next to: faculty representation; outside partners). It is asked if this proposal meets our expectations and to amend if necessary. This point on the agenda is technically for information, but a meeting has been set on the 14th of June so that all UC members attend and give their impressions on the educational vision of the EUR in relation to HoKa.

The HoKa Clerk will draft a letter with concluding aspects of this meeting, however, the whole Council should be involved in this agenda point.

**Action point: The HoKa Clerk will write a letter providing the input of the Council for the educational vision of the EUR in relation to HoKa considering the input of the June 14th meeting.**

### **02.11 Reorganisation EDIS**

This point is for information regarding the newly formed department EDIS (Former IT, CIOo and DIM departments).

**Action point: If there are questions, then these can be sent to the Clerk, so she requires further information by the upcoming plenary meeting.**

### **03 Incoming documents**

There were no incoming documents.

#### **04 Any other business**

- *Requesting policy makers to join the second plenary meeting*

Council members shall inform the Clerk as soon as possible if they would like to invite policy-makers to the second plenary meeting. The deadline for this is the 14th of June. If possible, they should send the questions or discussion points they want to discuss during the meeting to the Clerk, so she can make sure everything is arranged.

- *Convergence meeting*

Last week Wednesday, a Convergence meeting took place. All UC members were invited, but the attendance was very low. Furthermore, the meeting was confusing for those who attended as they did not have the documentation that was required for the meeting, and it appeared that it was a meeting only focused on the service councils. Thus, it would be good to thank the Board for the invitation, but signal that more organization is needed when inviting the Council to these meetings.

#### **05 Closing**